



EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Jerilyn Perine

CHAIRMAN AND PRESIDENT

Marvin Markus

SECRETARY

Sander Lehrer

TREASURER

Robert Berne

1 BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Executive Committee

Mark Alexander
Shirley Bresler, *VP*
Robert S. Cook Jr., *VP*
Mark Ginsberg
Henry Lanier, *VP*
Frances Magee, *VP*
John McCarthy
Gerard Vasisko
Mark A. Willis, *VP*

Board Members

Sandra Acosta
Debra C. Allee
Frank J. Anelante
Alan R. Bell
Robert F. Borg
Charles Brass
Howard Chin
James S. Davidson
Nina DeMartini-Day
Sylvia Deutsch
Ruth Dickler
Elaine Dovas
Douglas D. Durst
Erica Forman
Paul Freitag
William Frey
Alexander Garvin
Elliott M. Glass
Alicia Glen
Amie Gross
Rosanne C. Haggerty
Kent Hitesheew
William N. Hubbard
Robert W. Jones, *VP*
Carol Lamberg
Deborah Lamm, *VP*
Michael D. Lappin, *VP*
Charles S. Laven
Robert O. Lehrman
Jeffrey E. Levine
Mark A. Levine
Kenneth Lowenstein
Marvin A. Mass
Lucille L. McEwen
David McGregor
Howard D. Mendes
Ronay Menschay
Felice L. Michetti
Ron Moelis
Daniel Z. Nelson
Robert Nelson
Daniel Nissenbaum
David L. Picket
Blondel A. Pinnock
Edward Poteat
Vincent L. Riso, *VP*
Richard Roberts
Robert C. Rosenberg, *VP*
Bernard Rothzheid
Peter D. Salins
Marian Sameth
Ross Sandler
Richard J. Scheuer, *VP*
Philip Schorr
Denise Notice Scott
Avery Seavey
Paul Selver
Ethel Sheffer
Abby Sigal
Jane Silverman
Richard C. Singer, *VP*
Carole S. Slater
Ann M. Soja
Mark E. Strauss
Michael D. Sullivan
David J. Sweet
Robert V. Tishman
William Traylor
Adam Weinstein
Alan H. Wiener
David J. Wine
Emily Youssouf
Barry Zelikson
Howard Alan Zipser

**Testimony of
Jerilyn Perine
Executive Director CHPC
On Behalf of the CHPC Zoning Committee
February 4, 2009
Proposed Text Amendments Regarding Bicycle Storage**

The Zoning Committee of CHPC has reviewed the proposed text and forwarded a more expansive version of the Committee’s opinion to the Department of City Planning. Although measures to encourage bicycle use are desirable goals, we don’t believe that the amendments will further that goal sufficiently. They will however generate a series of unintended consequences like an undue cost for construction projects in NYC, which is especially troubling given the current economic climate.

We estimate that the requirements would add nearly \$2,000 per residential unit in hard costs alone. The loss of potential units because of space problems and the loss of rental income in commercial buildings would bring these costs even higher.

Its application to affordable housing, special needs housing and market rate housing outside of Manhattan is especially troubling. With construction starts nearly at a standstill, surely this is not the time to add to the costs of construction for mandates that are unlikely to meet their goals, and nearly impossible to enforce.

We do not believe that the studies cited provide sufficient justification that such a building-based requirement of bicycle storage space would in fact have the desired results. The City’s goal of doubling bicycle commuting by 2015, while commendable, would still represent less than 1.5% of commuters relative to the 3,500,000 NYC commuters. It is widely disproportional to a regulation that would affect the construction of nearly every new multi family residential and commercial building in NYC.

There is also no link noted between the provision of bicycle storage space and a resulting decrease in car usage.

Finally we are concerned about the efficacy of enforcement of the regulations which we believe further diminishes their proposed benefits. Following the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy for example, there is little assurance that such storage areas would not simply be given over to other uses. Utilizing scarce government enforcement resources to monitor such uses over time seems inefficient as well as ineffective.

In order to achieve some of the objectives of the amendments while minimizing their negative impacts, incentives instead could be offered such as an additional 30 square feet of zoning floor area per bicycle space, limited to one bicycle per dwelling unit, one bicycle per 200 square feet of office space, or one bicycle per 2,000 square feet of retail space; Or the voluntary inclusion of bicycle storage could result in a reduction of one required car parking space for every 20 bicycle storage spaces.

Regardless of the overall objections to the proposed text amendments, at least some of the more deleterious impacts could be partially mitigated through modification. As an alternative to a large communal storage space which can create security and maintenance problems, a closet within an apartment that is minimally 6' by 6' could be an alternative. Fifteen square feet of the closet area could be deducted from the floor area.

Waivers should also be available for buildings with special needs populations such as not-for-profit residences for the elderly or special needs housing, or government assisted affordable housing. A waiver option regarding the location of the bike storage in commercial buildings should also be available. The proposed requirement that the storage space must be located within 50 feet of a main entrance and a minimum of 24 inches from any wall, for example, is far too restrictive.

While the proposed regulations provide for an authorization to waive provisions, permitting a waiver by the Commissioner of the Department of Buildings would provide a simpler and more straightforward process.

Given the costs associated with implementing such regulations and the uncertain public benefits, it would be reasonable to require a review and assessment of their cost/benefits following their implementation. This could be accomplished by requiring a review by the Department of City Planning and preparation of a report and public hearings after three years to determine if the regulation has met its objectives and at what cost. This review would allow changes to be made to the regulations in light of any unanticipated operational problems that may arise.

By establishing a clear schedule for such review, both the government and the private sector could work towards improving the regulations and making them more effective.